# Higgs and Flavor Physics supplementary slides

First Joint ICTP-Trieste/ICTP-SAIFR School on Particle Physics 2018 Benjamín Grinstein



### Problem 2: Schedule of lectures?





For many like these see http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr/www/results/plots\_ichep16/ckm\_res\_ichep16.html

Fat

#### Skinny

#### Flavor Physics: an important constraint on all new BSM models

[Neubert, EPS2011]

Generic bounds without a flavor symmetry

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

TASI Exercise: from these determine bounds with MFV assumption

![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

Only angles (CPV asymmetries) 0.7 CKM. sin 28 an mine di ad 0

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 1. The charge asymmetry as a function of the reconstructed decay time  $\tau'$  for the K<sub>e3</sub> decays. The experimental data are compared to the best fit as indicated by the solid line.

S. Gjesdal, et al, Phys.Lett. B52 (1974) 113

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

7

This is  $B^0$ 

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

41

FIG. 25: Time-dependent asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta t)$  between unmixed and mixed events for hadronic *B* candidates with  $m_{\rm ES} > 5.27 \,\text{GeV}/c^2$ , a) as a function of  $\Delta t$ ; and b) folded as a function of  $|\Delta t|$ . The asymmetry in a) is due to the fitted bias in the  $\Delta t$  resolution function.

Babar, arXiv.org > hep-ex > arXiv:hep-ex/0201020

This is Bs

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

VV

W

 $q_i$ 

91

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Gold plated examples: $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

$\sin(2\beta) \equiv \sin(2\phi_1) \frac{\text{HFLAV}}{\text{Moriond 2018}}$		
BaBar PRD 79 (2009) 072009		0.69 ± 0.03 ± 0.01
BaBar χ_ K_ PRD 80 (2009) 112001	,	0.69 ± 0.52 ± 0.04 ± 0.07
BaBar J/ψ (hadronic) K <sub>S</sub> PRD 69 (2004):052001		H 1,56 ± 0.42 ± 0.21
Belle PRL 108 (2012) 171802	,	$0.67 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$
ALEPH PLB 492, 259 (2000)		0.84 <sup>+0.82</sup> ± 0.16
OPAL EPJ C5, 379 (1998)		3.20 <sup>+1.80</sup> ± 0.50
CDF PRD 61, 072005 (2000)	Ц	* 0.79 <sup>+0.41</sup>
LHCb JHEP 11 (2017) 170		H 0.76 ± 0.03
Belle5S PRL 108 (2012) 171801	*	0.57 ± 0.58 ± 0.06
Average HFLAV		0.70 ± 0.02
-2 -1	0	1 2 3

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

I2

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

Ballar

Belle

0.8

Average

BaBar part. rec

(0.65±0.36±0.05)x10<sup>0</sup>

PRD79.032002(2009)

 $\substack{(1.06^{+0.14}\pm0.08) \times 10^{0} \\ \mathsf{PRD85,0911106(2012)} }$ 

(0.68±0.15±0.04)x10<sup>0</sup> PRD79,032002(2009)

(0.78±0.15±0.05)x10<sup>0</sup>

(0.71±0.16±0.03)x10<sup>0</sup> PRD79,032002(2009

(0.79±0.13±0.03)x10<sup>0</sup>

EPS2011 preliminary

 $(0.49\pm0.18\pm0.08)x10^{0}$ 

 $(0.73\pm0.11)\times10^{0}$ 

 $(0.77 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{0}$ 

new ICHEP2012

PRD85,0911106(2012)

(0.98±0.17)x10<sup>0</sup>