SEARCHING FOR DARK MATTER DITIONAL CONTRACTOR Sergio Palomares-Ruíz









Workshop on New Trends in Dark Matter



International Centre for Theoretical Physics South American Institute for Fundamental Research

December 9, 2020









INSTITUT DE FÍSICA C O R P ILS C IL LA P



2



2





NEUTRINO-DARK MATTER INTERACTIONS



NEUTRINO-DARK MATTER INTERACTIONS

10

0









Sources of Neutrinos Natural sources

Sun

Man-made sources

Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA C o r r us c u l a r Earth

Atmosphere

Nuclear reactors



Partícle accelerators

4

Farther away

Supernova

explosions



Astrophysical

sources

Cosmic relics

SOURCES OF NEUTRINOS Natural sources Exotics? Atmosphere Farther away Earth Sun Supernova 1 . explosions Astrophysical sources Cosmic relics Man-made sources Nuclear reactors Partícle accelerators

Sergio Palomares-Ruiz



Annihilation of captured DM in the Sun/Earth

Sensitive to scattering cross section Only for m > few GeV

New signal

 Φ

0

Annihilations/decays in halos

Sensitive to annihilation cross section (link to thermal production in the early Universe?) and lifetime

DM annihilations or decays



DARK MATTER CAPTURE IN THE SUN/EARTH



IFIC INSTITUT DE FÍSICA CORPUSCULAR Sergio Palomares-Ruiz J. Sílk, K. A. Olíve and M. Srednickí, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55:257, 1985 T. K. Gaísser, G. Steigman and S. Tílav, Phys. Rev. D34:2206, 1986 M. Srednickí, K. A. Olíve and J. Sílk, Phys. B279:804, 1987 K. Griest and D. Seckel, Nucl. Phys. B283:681, 1987

6

DARK MATTER CAPTURE IN THE SUN/EARTH

 Local DM particles elastically scatter with the nuclei of the Sun to a velocity smaller than the escape velocity, and they can get gravitationally bound and finally trapped inside
 W. H. Press and D. N. Spergel, Astrophys. J. 296:679, 1985

$$C_{\odot} \simeq 9 \times 10^{23} \, \text{s}^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho_{\circ}}{0.3 \, \text{GeV/cm}^3} \right) \left(\frac{270 \, \text{km/s}}{\text{V}_{\text{local}}} \right)^3 \left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{SD}}}{10^{-3} \, \text{pb}} \right) \left(\frac{50 \, \text{GeV}}{m_{\chi}} \right)^2$$

A. Gould, Astrophys. J. 321:560, 1987; K. Griest and D. Seckel, Nucl. Phys. B283:681, 1987; A. Gould, Astrophys. J. 321:571, 1987 Additional scatterings give rise to an isothermal distribution

- Trapped DM particles can annihilate into SM particles
- After some time, annihilation and capture rates typically equilibrate

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{k}_{\odot}) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{C}_{\odot} \operatorname{kanh}^{2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\odot}}{\mathbf{k}_{\odot}}\right) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{C}_{\odot}$$

Only neutrinos can escape
 FIC
 Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

J. Sílk, K. A. Olíve and M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55:257, 1985 T. K. Gaisser, G. Steigman and S. Tílav, Phys. Rev. D34:2206, 1986 M. Srednicki, K. A. Olíve and J. Sílk, Phys. B279:804, 1987 K. Griest and D. Seckel, Nucl. Phys. B283:681, 1987

LIMITS FROM THE SUN

SK: (3902.7 + 4206.7) days

K. Choi et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 114:141301, 2015

Baksan: 24.12 yrs

M. M. Boliev et al., JCAP 09:019, 2013

Baikal: 1038 days

A. D. Avrorin et al. [Baikal Collaboration], Astropart. Phys. 62:12, 2015

IceCube: 532 days

M. G. Aartsen et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C77:146, 2017

ANTARES: 5 yrs

S. Adrián-Martínez et al. [ANTARES Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B759:69, 2016



S. Navas, D. López-Coto and J. D. Zornoza [KM3NeT Collaboration], PoS(ICRC2019)536, 2020

LIMITS FROM THE SUN

SK: (3902.7 + 4206.7) days

K. Choi et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 114:141301, 2015

Baksan: 24.12 yrs

M. M. Boliev et al., JCAP 09:019, 2013

Baikal: 1038 days

A. D. Avrorin et al. [Baikal Collaboration], Astropart. Phys. 62:12, 2015

IceCube: 532 days

M. G. Aartsen et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C77:146, 2017

ANTARES: 5 yrs

S. Adrián-Martínez et al. [ANTARES Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B759:69, 2016



S. Navas, D. López-Coto and J. D. Zornoza [KM3NeT Collaboration], PoS(ICRC2019)536, 2020

Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

Usually only considered annihilations into heavy quarks, gauge bosons or tau leptons...

What about annihilations into light quarks, muons or even electrons?

- Electrons/positrons do not produce neutrinos...
- Muons lose energy electromagnetically very rapidly
 and decay at rest

$$\tau_{stop} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-10} \left(\frac{E}{10 \text{ GeV}} \right) s \ll \tau_{decay} \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \left(\frac{E}{10 \text{ GeV}} \right)$$

 Light-quark hadrons, as pions, are stopped via nuclear interactions and decay at rest

$$\tau_{\rm int} \approx 10^{-11} s \ll \tau_{decay} \approx 10^{-6} \left(\frac{E}{10 \text{ GeV}}\right) s$$







LOW-ENERGY NEUTRINOS

Using SK data (DSNB analysis)



Unique limits (from DM in the Sun) on annihilations into light quarks or muons

spin-independent

spin-dependent



N. Bernal, J. Martín-Albo, SPR, JCAP 08:011, 2013

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA CORPUSCULAR Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

LOW-ENERGY NEUTRINOS

Using SK data (DSNB analysis)



Unique limits (from DM in the Sun) on annihilations into light quarks or muons

spin-independent

spin-dependent



N. Bernal, J. Martín-Albo, SPR, JCAP 08:011, 2013

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA CORPUSCULAR Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

STRONGLY INTERACTING (HEAVY) DARK MATTER

I. F. M. Albuquerque, L. Huí and E. W. Kolb, Phys. Rev. D64:083504, 2001

104.3 days IC22

I. F. M. Albuquerque and C. Pérez de los Heros, Phys. Rev. D81:063510, 2010



B. J. Kavanagh, Phys. Rev. D97:123013, 2018

R. H. Cyburt et al., Phys. Rev. D65:123503, 2002 G. D. Mack, J. F. Beacom and G. Bertone, Phys. Rev. D76:043523, 2007 G. D. Mack and A. Manohar, J. Phys. G40:115202, 2013

q > 1: very frequent collisions, but result similar to the thin regime -> capture rate scales with inverse of DM mass squared

q < 1: efficient energy transfer -> capture rate scales with inverse of DM mass

Thick regime:

 $M \sigma K_{\odot} \gg 1$

(per collision) is ~ mN/MDM

Key quantity: $q \equiv \frac{m_{DM}}{m_N n \sigma R_{\odot}}$

However... the typical

momentum transfer



For applicability of model independence:

M. C. Dígman et al., Phys. Rev. D100:063013, 2019

Searching for dark matter with neutrinos

SCATTERING OFF ELECTRONS

What about interactions with electrons?

J. Kopp et al, Phys. Rev. D80:083502, 2009

smaller mass of largels ------> thermal motion is crucial

constant scattering cross section





R. Garaní and SPR, JCAP 05:007, 2017

In leptophilic scenarios, DM-nucleon could occur at loop level. Yet, in some cases DM-electron could be more important than DM-nucleon



R. Garaní and SPR, in preparation

using the 2-loop calculation of

R. Garaní, P. Mastrolía, SPR and A. Primo, in preparation

Strong bounds on the DM-electron scattering cross section

Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

SELF-INTERACTING DARK MATTER

D. N. Spergel and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84:3760, 2000

Suppresses small-scale structure

Alleviates cusp-core, too-big-to-fail problems

C. Boehm, P. Fayet and R. Schaeffer, Phys. Lett. B518:8, 2001



M. Vogelsberger et al., MNRAS 460:1399, 2016

R. A. Flores and J. R. Primack, Astrophys. J. 427:L1, 1994 B. Moore, Nature 370:629, 1994 M. Boylan-Kolchín, J. S. Bullock and M. Kaplinghat,

MNRAS 415:L40, 2011; MNRAS 422:1203, 2012





M. Boylan-Kolchín, J. S. Bullock and O. D. Elbert et al., MNRAS 453:29, 2015 M. Kaplinghat, MNRAS 422:1203, 2012

Capture in the Sun

A. R. Zentner, Phys. Rev. D80:063501, 2009

Self interactions enhance the capture rate

DM could reach equilibrium, even if it wouldn't without self-interactions

Sergio Palomares-Ruiz



I. F. M. Albuquerque, C. Pérez de los Heros and D. S. Robertson, JCAP 02:047, 2014 Searching for dark matter with neutrinos 12

SELF-INTERACTING DARK MATTER

D. N. Spergel and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84:3760, 2000

Suppresses small-scale structure

Alleviates cusp-core, too-big-to-fail problems

C. Boehm, P. Fayet and R. Schaeffer, Phys. Lett. B518:8, 2001



M. Vogelsberger et al., MNRAS 460:1399, 2016



B. Moore, Nature 370:629, 1994

Astrophys. J. 427:L1, 1994

and M. Kaplinghat,

MNRAS 415:L40, 2011; MNRAS 422:1203, 2012





M. Boylan-Kolchín, J. S. Bullock and O. D. Elbert et al., MNRAS 453:29, 2015 M. Kaplinghat, MNRAS 422:1203, 2012

Capture in the Sun

A. R. Zentner, Phys. Rev. D80:063501, 2009

Self interactions enhance the capture rate

DM could reach equilibrium, even if it wouldn't without self-interactions



Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

I. F. M. Albuquerque, C. Pérez de los Heros and D. S. Robertson, JCAP 02:047, 2014 Searching for dark matter with neutrinos 12

SECLUDED DARK MATTER



See also: D. S. Robertson and I. F. M. Albuquerque, JCAP 02:056, 2018 Sergio Palomares-Ruiz C. Tönnís [IceCube Collaboration], PoS(ICRC2019)548, 2019

Searching for dark matter with neutrinos

DM ANNIHILATIONS/DECAYS IN HALOS: WHERE TO LOOK?

Galactic halo best statistics, angular information

Galaxy clusters High DM densities

DM clumps bright enough?

Extragalactic background DM contribution from all z

Galactic center brightest DM source

Dwarf galaxies High DM densities

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

Figure from J. Diemand, M. Kuhlen and P. Madau, Astrophys. J. 657:262, 2007

14

Searching for dark matter with neutrinos



ANNIHILATIONS IN THE GALAXY AND OTHERS

SK: (5325.8 + 5629.1) days IceCube: 1007 days

K. Abe et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], M. G. Aartsen et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D102:072002, 2020

Eur. Phys. J. C77:627, 2017

A. Albert et al. [ANTARES Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B805:135439, 2020

ANTARES: 3170 days



K. Abe et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D102:072002, 2020

ANNIHILATIONS IN THE GALAXY AND OTHERS

SK: (5325.8 + 5629.1) days IceCube: 1007 days

ANTARES: 3170 days

K. Abe et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], M. G. Aartsen et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D102:072002, 2020

Eur. Phys. J. C77:627, 2017

16

A. Albert et al. [ANTARES Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B805:135439, 2020



K. Abe et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D102:072002, 2020

Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

982.7 days IC86: Dwarfs, M31, Virgo



M. M. de With, Ph. D. thesis, 2018

M. G. Aartsen et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D88:122001, 2013

ANNIHILATIONS IN THE GALAXY AND OTHERS

SK: (5325.8 + 5629.1) days IceCube: 1007 days

ANTARES: 3170 days

K. Abe et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], M. G. Aartsen et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D102:072002, 2020

Eur. Phys. J. C77:627, 2017

A. Albert et al. [ANTARES Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B805:135439, 2020



K. Abe et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D102:072002, 2020

982.7 days IC86: Dwarfs, M31, Virgo



M. G. Aartsen et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D88:122001, 2013

Sensitivities for ARCA and IceCube upgrade



S. R. Gozzíní and J. D. Zornoza [KM3NeT Collaboration], PoS(ICRC2019)552, 2020

Work in Progress 10-22 $(cm^{3}m^{-1})$ 10-23 (JV) 10-24 10⁻²⁵ 10² 10¹ m_γ (GeV)

IceCube

 $\cdots \nu_{\mu} \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$

NFW profile

S. Baur [IceCube Collaboration]. PoS(ICRC2019)506,2020

Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

Searching for dark matter with neutrinos



L. Covi et al., JCAP 04:017, 2010

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

17

Searching for dark matter with neutrinos

Phys. Rev. D84:022004, 2011

Can the highest energy IceCube neutrinos be explained by heavy dark matter annihilations/decays?

B. Feldstein et al., Phys, Rev. D88:015004, 2013 A. Esmaili and P. D. Serpico, JCAP 11:054, 2013

Can the highest energy IceCube neutrinos be explained by heavy dark matter annihilations/decays?

B. Feldstein et al., Phys, Rev. D88:015004, 2013

annihilations A. Esmailí and P. D. Serpico, JCAP 11:054, 2013

$$\text{Rate} \sim V N_N \sigma_N L_{MW} \left(\frac{\rho_{DM}}{m_{DM}}\right)^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10/\text{year} \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10^{-21} \text{cm}^3/\text{s} \left(\frac{m_{DM}}{\text{PeV}}\right)^2$$

Unitarity limit → non-thermal or composite DM or K. Griest and M. Kamionkowski, non-standard Universe evolution Phys. Rev. Lett. 64:615, 1990,



Can the highest energy IceCube neutrinos be explained by heavy dark matter annihilations/decays?

B. Feldstein et al., Phys, Rev. D88:015004, 2013

annihilations A. Esmailí and P. D. Serpico, JCAP 11:054, 2013 decays

 $\operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \left(\frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \right)^{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10/\operatorname{year} \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10^{-21} \operatorname{cm}^{3}/\operatorname{s} \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{\operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10/\operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10/\operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10/\operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10/\operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10/\operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10/\operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10/\operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \operatorname{N_{N}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}$

Unitarity limit - non-thermal or composite DM or K. Griest and M. Kamionkowski, non-standard Universe evolution Phys. Rev. Lett. 64:615, 1990



Can the highest energy IceCube neutrinos be explained by heavy dark matter annihilations/decays?

B. Feldstein et al., Phys, Rev. D88:015004, 2013

annihilations A. Esmailí and P. D. Serpíco, JCAP 11:054, 2013

decays

 $\operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \left(\frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \right)^{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10^{-21} \operatorname{cm}^{3} / \operatorname{s} \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{\operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{Rate} \rightarrow V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}}$

Unitarity limit - non-thermal or composite DM or K. Griest and M. Kamionkowski, non-standard Universe evolution Phys. Rev. Lett. 64:615, 1990

Many analyses have followed Many production mechanisms have been proposed

Can the highest energy IceCube neutrinos be explained by heavy dark matter annihilations/decays?

B. Feldstein et al., Phys, Rev. D88:015004, 2013

annihilations

A. Esmaili and P. D. Serpico, JCAP 11:054, 2013

decays

$$\operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \left(\frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \right)^{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10^{-21} \operatorname{cm}^{3} / \operatorname{s} \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{\operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}}{10^{28} \operatorname{s}} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_{\operatorname{DM}}}{1 \operatorname{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\operatorname{DM}}}{m_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \operatorname{Rate} \operatorname{N} \sigma_{\operatorname{DM}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\operatorname{DM}$$

Unitarity limit → non-thermal or composite DM or K. Griest and M. Kamionkowski, non-standard Universe evolution Phys. Rev. Lett. 64:615, 1990,

6-yr HESE: Astro + DM

annihilations





A. Bhattacharya, A. Esmaílí, SPR and I. Sarcevíc, JCAP 05:051, 2019

Many analyses have followed Many production mechanisms have been proposed

> Neutrino limits are better than gamma-ray ones for relatively hard channels

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

Can the highest energy IceCube neutrinos be explained by heavy dark matter annihilations/decays?

B. Feldstein et al., Phys, Rev. D88:015004, 2013

annihilations

A. Esmaílí and P. D. Serpíco, JCAP 11:054, 2013

decays

$$\operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \left(\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \right)^{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10^{-21} \mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}{\mathrm{PeV}} \right)^{2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}}{10^{28} \mathrm{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}{1 \mathrm{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}}{10^{28} \mathrm{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}{1 \mathrm{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}}{10^{28} \mathrm{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}{1 \mathrm{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}}{10^{28} \mathrm{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}{1 \mathrm{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}}{10^{28} \mathrm{s}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}{1 \mathrm{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{N}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}}{10^{28} \mathrm{s}} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{DM}}}{1 \mathrm{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \sim 10 / \operatorname{year} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}}{10^{28} \mathrm{s}} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{DM}}}{1 \mathrm{PeV}} \right)^{-2} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{N}} \sigma_{\mathrm{DM}} \operatorname{L_{MW}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} = 0 / \operatorname{N_{M}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{M}} \sigma_{\mathrm{DM}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{M}} \sigma_{\mathrm{DM}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \operatorname{Rate} \sim V \operatorname{N_{M}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{DM}}} \frac{1}{\tau$$

Unitarity limit → non-thermal or composite DM or K. Griest and M. Kamionkowski, non-standard Universe evolution Phys. Rev. Lett. 64:615, 1990,

6-yr HESE: Astro + DM

 10^{2}

annihilations





decays

A. Bhattacharya, A. Esmaílí, SPR and I. Sarcevíc, JCAP 05:051, 2019

Many analyses have followed Many production mechanisms have been proposed

> Neutrino limits are better than gamma-ray ones for relatively hard channels







C. A. Argüelles and H. Dujmovic [IceCube Collaboration], PoS(ICRC2019)839, 2020

See also: M. G. Aartsen et al. [IceCube Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C78:831, 2018

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA Sergio Palomares-Ruiz

 $m_{\rm DM}$ [PeV]

1.0

18

Searching for dark matter with neutrinos

ANNIHILATIONS/DECAYS INTO MONOCHROMATIC NEUTRINOS

Given that neutrinos are the least detectable particles in the SM, considering DM annihilations/decays into a pair of neutrinos is the most conservative scenario

J. F. Beacom, N. F. Bell and G. D. Mack, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99:231301, 2007 H. Yüksel et al., Phys. Rev. D.76:123506, 2007

SPR and S. Pascolí, Phys. Rev. D77:025025, 2008 SPR, Phys. Lett. B665:50, 2008



ANNIHILATIONS/DECAYS INTO MONOCHROMATIC NEUTRINOS

Given that neutrinos are the least detectable particles in the SM, considering DM annihilations/decays into a pair of neutrinos is the most conservative scenario

J. F. Beacom, N. F. Bell and G. D. Mack, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99:231301, 2007 H. Yüksel et al., Phys. Rev. D.76:123506, 2007

SPR and S. Pascolí, Phys. Rev. D77:025025, 2008 SPR, Phys. Lett. B665:50, 2008





Features on known spectra

W

8

to solve the spectrum Acts at production

.Heavy relics

Affects atting times

DM annihilation

DM decay

Boosted DM-NSI

• Sterile v

Effective operators, •Leptoquarks Extra dimensions Superluminal v

Lorentz+CPT violation

Long-range interactions.

Secret vv interactions

UO171500LUOD JONEYS Monopoles

Acts during propagation)

DM-v interaction

Acts at detection

C. A. Argüelles et al., PoS(ICRC2019)849, 2020

Ł

 Φ

Affects arrival directions

DE-v interaction

Neutrino decay

Supersymmetry.

NEUTRINO-DARK MATTER INTERACTIONS ABSORPTIVE EFFECTS $\frac{d\phi_v(E_v,x)}{dx} \approx -n(x) \sigma(E_v) \phi_v(E_v,x)$

Dips on the SN neutrino spectra

Y. Farzan and SPR, JCAP 06:014, 2014 T. Franarín, M. Faírbaírn and J. H. Davís, arXív:1806.05015



Full absorption of SN neutrinos

G. Mangano et al., Phys. Rev., D74:043517, 2006

Energy-dependent anisotropy of highenergy neutrinos C. A. Argüelles, A. Kheirandish and A. C. Vincent, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119:201801, 2017



Distortion of high-energy neutrinos

J. Barranco et al., JCAP 10:007, 2011 M. M. Reynoso and O. A. Sampayo, Astropart. Phys. 82:10, 2016

Full absorption of high-energy neutrinos

From point sources K. J. Kelly and P. A. N. Machado, JCAP 10:048, 2018 J. B. G. Alvey and M. Fairbairn, JCAP 07:041, 2019 K. Choi, J. Kim and C. Rott, Phys. Rev. D99:8, 2019

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA Sergio Palomares-Ruiz



21

Time delays of high-energy neutrinos

S. Koren, JCAP 09:013, 2019

K. Murase and I. M. Shoemaker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123:24, 2019



Searching for dark matter with neutrinos



SPR and T. J. Weiler, in preparation... since 2006

NEUTRINO-DARK MATTER INTERACTIONS

COHERENT EFFECTS

induce an effective mass or potential

on high-energy neutrinos

s on solar neutrinos

O. G. Míranda, C. A. Moura and A. Parada, Phys. Lett. B744:55, 2015 P. F. de Salas, R. A. Líneros and M. Tórtola, Phys. Rev. D94:123001, 2016 (DM in the Sun) F. Capozzí, I. M. Shoemaker and L. Vecchí, JCAP 07:021, 2017 I. Lopes, Astrophys. J. 869:112, 2018

on atmospheric neutrinos

 $\frac{d\phi_{v}(E_{v},x)}{dx} = -i \left(U H_{vac} U^{\dagger} + V_{m} \right) \phi_{v}(E_{v},x)$

F. Capozzi, I. M. Shoemaker and L. Vecchi, JCAP 07:004, 2018

If neutrinos couple to ultra-light dark matter, these interactions can...

alter flavor ratios of high-energy neutrinos

Y. Farzan and SPR, Phys. Rev. D99:051702(R), 2019 S. Karmakar, S. Pandey and S. Rakshit, arXiv:2010.07336

suppress sterile neutrino production in the early Universe

F. Bezrukov, A. Chudaykín and D. Gorbunov, JCAP 06:051, 2017 Y. Farzan, Phys. Lett. B797:134911, 2019 J. Clíne, Phys. Lett. B802:135182, 2020

induce time variations or distortions of masses and mixings





NEUTRINO-DARK MATTER INTERACTIONS

COLLISIONAL AND MIXED DAMPING

- C. Boehm, P. Fayet and R. Schaeffer, Phys. Lett. B518:8, 2001
- C. Boehm et al., MNRAS 360:282, 2005
- C. Boehm and R. Shaeffer, Astron. Astrophys. 438:419, 2005

CMB anisotropies

P. Serra et al., Phys.Rev.D 81:043507, 2010 R. J. Wilkinson, C. Boehm and J. Lesgourgues, JCAP 05:011, 2014 M. Escudero et al., JCAP 09:034, 2015 J. A. D. Diacoumis and Y. Y. Y. Wong, JCAP 01:001, 2019 J. A. D. Díacoumis and Y. Y.Y. Wong, JCAP 05:025, 2019

MW satellites

C. Boehm et al., MNRAS 445 : L31, 2014 M. Escudero et al., JCAP 06:007, 2018



Lyman-alpha

R. J. Wilkinson, C. Boehm and J. Lesgourgues, JCAP 05:011, 2014

 $\sigma_{el} < 10^{-33} \left(\frac{m_{DM}}{GeV} \right) cm^2 \qquad \sigma_{el} < 10^{-45} \left(\frac{m_{DM}}{GeV} \right) \left(\frac{T_{\nu}}{T_0} \right) cm^2$ INSTITUT DE FÍSICA Sergio Palomares-Ruiz



CMB spectral distortions

k (h/Mpc)

Y. Ali-Haimoud, J. Chluba and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115:071304, 2015 J. A. D. Díacoumís and Y. Y. Y. Wong, JCAP 09:011, 2017

x = 0.0071 Mpc/h

 $x_x = 0.0020 \text{ Mpc/h}$

Fit 10-2 CLASS IDM

 $P_{CDM}(k)$

 $r^{2}(k)$

(k) 10

Galaxy surveys

G. Mangano et al., Phys. Rev., D74:043517, 2006 P. Serra et al., Phys.Rev.D 81:043507, 2010 M. Escudero et al., JCAP 09:034, 2015

Diffuse neutrino flux from DM annihilations

A. Moline et al., JCAP 08:069, 2016

Cosmo/Astro effects

8

Searching for dark matter with neutrinos

24

COMBINING RESULTS: INTERACTIONS/ANNIHILATIONS Considering all (12) possible dimension-4 operators describing neutrino-dark matter interactions

scalar DM - Majorana mediator Dirac DM - vector mediator Dirac DM - scalar mediator 101 1012 1012 1012 10-15 Thermal relic 1011 10-15 1011 10-20 $N_{\rm eff}$ Neff 1011 10-20 10-20 1010 1010 Thermal relic 10-25 1010 10-25 10-25 109 109 10-30 109 10-30 10-30 108 108 10-35 108 10-35 (eV) 10-35 5 107 (eV) (eV)107 cm^2) Excluded by 10-40 10 (cm (cm)DM stability Excluded by 10-40 106 10-40 10 DM stability Nul 10-45 10 m_{ϕ} 'Z'm Jel D 10-45 105 105 10-45 10-50 105 104 10-50 104 10-55 104 10-50 103 10-55 103 10-60 103 10-55 Excluded by 102 10-60 Borexino 102 Borexing 10-65 Borexino 102 Excluded by collisional damping ollisional damping SK own analysis SK own analysis SK own analysis 10-60 101 10-65 Beacom et al 10-70 101 101 Beacom et. al. Beacom et al Excluded by SK collaboration SK collaboration SK collaboration collisional da 10-75 100 10-65 100 101 10-70 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 1010 1011 1012 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 1010101110121013 100 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 1010 1011 1012 $m_{\rm DM}$ (eV) $m_{\rm DM}$ (eV) m_{DM} (eV)

A. Olívares-Del Campo, C. Boehm, SPR and S. Pascolí, Phys. Rev., D97:075039, 2018

Gauge-invariant scenarios: neutrino-portals M. Blennow et al., Eur. Phys. J. C79:555, 2019

See also:

A. Falkowskí, J. Juknevích and J. Shelton, arXív:0908.1790 M. Líndner, A. Merle and V. Níro, Phys. Rev., D82:123529, 2010 V. González Macías and J. Wudka, JHEP 07:161, 2015

INSTITUT DE FÍSICA Sergio Palomares-Ruiz





Searching for dark matter with neutrinos

CONCLUSIONS

Many (potential) neutrino-dark matter connections

Many indirect dark matter signatures with neutrinos (not all covered in this talk)... some unique

Neutríno detectors: complementary to dírect searches, to índírect searches with other messengers and to astro/cosmo observables

