How can we define and quantify non-classicality?

Barbara Amaral

Instituto de Física da USP

September 2024

Barbara Amaral (IFUSP)

Non-classicality

Non-locality

- 2 Contextuality
- 3 Why do we care?
- Gravity experiments

5 Conclusion

• When do we say that something is **classical**?

- When do we say that something is classical?
- When do we say that something is quantum?

- When do we say that something is classical?
- When do we say that something is quantum?
- When do we say that something is non-classical?

Non-locality

- 2 Contextuality
- 3 Why do we care?
- 4 Gravity experiments
- 5 Conclusion

• Translate classical world into reasonable hypotheses;

- Translate classical world into reasonable hypotheses;
- Deduce conditions that must be satisfied by any theory adhering to these hypotheses;

- Translate classical world into reasonable hypotheses;
- Deduce conditions that must be satisfied by any theory adhering to these hypotheses;
- Show that these conditions are violated by the statistics obtained of an experiment.





































p(ab|xy)

Q Realism: Measurements only reveal pre-defined results.

- **Q** Realism: Measurements only reveal pre-defined results.
- Locality: Alice's choices should not influence Bob's results and vice versa.

- **9 Realism:** Measurements only reveal pre-defined results.
- Locality: Alice's choices should not influence Bob's results and vice versa.
- Free will: Alice and Bob can freely choose the experiments they will perform.



 $\underbrace{p(a|x,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1} \underbrace{p(b|y,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1}$

 $\sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda) \underbrace{p(a|x,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1} \underbrace{p(b|y,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1}$

$$p(ab|xy) = \sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda) \underbrace{p(a|x,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1} \underbrace{p(b|y,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1}$$

$$p(ab|xy) = \sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda) p(a|x, \lambda) p(b|y, \lambda)$$

Non-locality

2 Contextuality

3 Why do we care?

Gravity experiments

5 Conclusion

• Find sets of measurements with a certain structure that can be performed on the system.

- Find sets of measurements with a certain structure that can be performed on the system.
- Show that such structures are not compatible with theories adhering to reasonable **classical** hypotheses.

• **Realism:** Measurements only reveal pre-defined results. The hidden variables determine what that value is.

- **Realism:** Measurements only reveal pre-defined results. The hidden variables determine what that value is.
- On-contextuality: The value assigned to a test A does not depend on which compatible tests are performed alongside A.

- **Realism:** Measurements only reveal pre-defined results. The hidden variables determine what that value is.
- One-contextuality: The value assigned to a test A does not depend on which compatible tests are performed alongside A.
- Free will: The experimenter can freely choose the experiments to perform.

There is no theory satisfying conditions 1, 2, and 3 that is consistent with the predictions of quantum physics.

It consists of a set ${\mathcal X}$ of measurements on a physical system and a set ${\mathcal C}$ of subsets of ${\mathcal X}.$

The subsets of \mathcal{X} contained in \mathcal{C} are called **contexts**.

The subsets of \mathcal{X} contained in \mathcal{C} are called **contexts**.

They represent subsets of variables in \mathcal{X} for which a joint probability distribution is known.





 $p(a|x,\lambda) p(b|y,\lambda)$ 0 or 1 0 **or** 1

 $\sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda) \underbrace{p(a|x,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1} \underbrace{p(b|y,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1}$

$$p(ab|xy) = \sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda) \underbrace{p(a|x,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1} \underbrace{p(b|y,\lambda)}_{0 \text{ or } 1}$$

$$p(ab|xy) = \sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda) p(a|x, \lambda) p(b|y, \lambda)$$



- Non-locality
- 2 Contextuality
- 3 Why do we care?
- Gravity experiments

5 Conclusion

• Device independent approach;

- Device independent approach;
- Theory independent: test generalized probability theories;

- Device independent approach;
- Theory independent: test generalized probability theories;
- Certification of states and measurements.

Non-locality x contextuality

• Simulating non-locality requires faster than light communication;

- Simulating non-locality requires faster than light communication;
- Simulating contextuality requires memory.

 Non-locality requires multipartite spatially separated systems (not expected in gravity experiments);

- Non-locality requires multipartite spatially separated systems (not expected in gravity experiments);
- Contextuality allows for much more possibilities.

Loophole-free experiment is unlikely with interactions mediated by gravity.

- Non-locality
- 2 Contextuality
- 3 Why do we care?
- Gravity experiments

5 Conclusion

Testing contextuality in gravity experiments: is it possible?



Patrick Andriolo



Naim Comar



Danilo Cius



- Non-locality
- 2 Contextuality
- 3 Why do we care?
- Gravity experiments



• Several definitions of non-classicality available: pick your favorite!

• Several definitions of non-classicality available: pick your favorite!

• Non-locality unlikely to be the most suitable for gravity experiments.

• Several definitions of non-classicality available: pick your favorite!

- Non-locality unlikely to be the most suitable for gravity experiments.
- Testing contextuality in gravity experiments: it is possible?

Thank you! Questions? RESEARCHERS



Naim Comar

MSC STUDENTS



Danilo Cius

G.

PHD STUDENTS

Alisson Tezzin



Gabriel Muniz



Luis Silva



Amanda Fonseca



Lucas Ribeiro



Mikael Alcântara



QUANTUM FOUNDATIONS @ USP

Felipe Barreto



Patrick Andriolo



Gustavo Balvedi



Sílvio Jr.





Letícia Lima

FAÇA CIÊNCIA COMO UMA GAROTA!





